

**CALDERDALE SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN BOARD
THURSDAY 5 FEBRUARY 2015 – 2 PM – 5 PM
ROOM 1, HALIFAX LIBRARY**

PRESENT:

| | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|---|---|
| Richard Burrows (Chair) | RB | - | CSCB Independent Chair |
| Julia Caldwell | JC | - | CSCB Business & QA Manager |
| Councillor Colin Raistrick | CR | - | Lead Member – Children & Young People, CMBC |
| Shaheen Khawaja | SK | - | CSCB Lay Member |
| Cheryl Baxter | CB | - | Team Manager IRO Service - Safeguarding & QA Service |
| Jo Sewell | JS | - | Service Manager - CAFCASS |
| Janette Pearce | JP | - | Head of Pennine Housing/Together Housing Group |
| Laura Newcombe | LN | - | Head Teacher – Lee Mount Primary School (Primary Heads Representative) |
| Chris Stoddart | CS | - | Safeguarding Advisor, Regional Prevent Co-ordinator – NHS England |
| Penny Woodhead | PW | - | Head of Quality – Calderdale CCG |
| Gill Poyser Young | GPY | - | Designated Nurse – NHS Commissioners |
| Janet Youd | JY | - | Acting Head of Safeguarding - CHFT |
| Martyn Greenwood | MG | - | District Commander – West Yorkshire Fire |
| Robin Tuddenham | RT | - | Director for Communities and Service Support |
| Iain Baines | IB | - | Head of Safeguarding & Quality Assurance, Adults, Health & Social Care - CMBC |
| Kathryn Padgett | KP | - | Assistant Director of Nursing - SWYPFT |
| Jayne Leech | JL | - | Partnership Co-ordinator – Sector Support, Calderdale VCS |
| Darren Minton | DM | - | Acting Chief Superintendent, West Yorkshire Police |
| Maggie Smallridge | MS | - | Head of West Yorkshire NPS (Bradford & Calderdale) - National Probation Service |
| Beate Wagner | BW | - | Head of Early Intervention & Safeguarding – CMBC |
| Howard Browes | HB | - | Assistant Principal, Quality & Learner Services |
| Dr Pamela Ohadike | PO | - | Designated Paediatrician – CHFT |
| Jill Farrington | JF | - | Consultant in Public Health Medicine - CMBC |
| Lisa Golding-Smith | LGS | - | CSCB Business Support Co-ordinator (minute taker) |

APOLOGIES:

- | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|---|---|
| Stuart Smith | SS | - | Director, Children & Young People’s Service – CMBC |
| Tim Breedon | TB | - | Director of Nursing – SWYPFT (represented by Kathryn Padgett) |
| Laura Knights | LK | - | Service Manager – Safeguarding & Quality Assurance Service (represented by Cheryl Baxter) |
| Angela Williams | CSAW | - | Chief Superintendent - West Yorkshire Police (represented by Acting Chief Superintendent Darren Minton) |
| David Lord | DL | - | Head Teacher – Ryburn Valley High School (Secondary Heads Representative) |
| Steve Blackman | SB | - | Safeguarding Lead – North Bank Forum (represented by Jayne Leech) |
| Jo Taylor | JT | - | CSCB Lay Member |
| Angela Everson | AE | - | Joint Chief Executive - WomenCentre |
| Kevin Ball | KB | - | Head of Service (Bradford & Calderdale) – West Yorkshire Community Rehabilitation Company Ltd (CRC) |
| Ian Hughes | IH | - | Head of Democratic & Partnership Services – Democratic & Partnership Services |

IN ATTENDANCE:

- | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------------|
| Allison Waddell (for Item 3.1) | AW | - | CSCB Learning & Improvement |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------------|

| Subject | Discussion and Agreed | Responsible Person | Timescale |
|---|---|--------------------|-----------|
| 1 Welcome, Introductions and Apologies for Absence | Members were welcomed, introductions made and the apologies noted. Members were asked to share reflections on the Ofsted inspection and review of the LSCB. Feedback from Ofsted was provisional but it was felt that on the whole it was a fair reflection. Ofsted clearly felt that they had the evidence to back up their judgement. It was also felt that it was (provisionally) a good outcome | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|--|
| | <p>for the LSCB and RB thanked everyone involved for all their work. RB confirmed that members will be involved in the process of responding to the draft report which is due early March. JC thanked RB and all CSCB Board members for their work and to please pass thanks onto anyone else in their agency who were involved with Ofsted.</p> | | |
| <p>2 Minutes of the Last Meeting and Matters Arising</p> | <p>CSCB – 4 December 2014 Page 2 RB encouraged members to complete and return the Evaluation Forms as they assist in how future CSCB meetings are conducted. Action – LGS to send the Evaluation Forms to members electronically – COMPLETE</p> <p>Page 3 <u>Performance Management & Quality Assurance Role/12 month post</u> Short listing is planned in the next week with interviews after that. There have been 5 responses. RB thanked the CCG, Police, LA and Pennine Housing who have all contributed to the funding of this post.</p> <p>Page 4 <u>Multi Agency Training (MAT)Charging Policy</u> The MAT charging policy has now come in to force and members were asked to continue to support it. <u>Vice Chair Nominations</u> One nomination has been received for Vice Chair. This is for PW, for a year, and this has been supported by the CCG. Members formally agreed for PW to be Vice Chair for a year. <u>Constitution</u> Members have received the Constitution which is a changing document that will be reviewed on an ongoing basis (in line with</p> | <p>LGS</p> | |

| | | |
|---|-------------------|--|
| <p>other key policies and frameworks). JC confirmed that other LA's (within the West Yorkshire Consortium, do not have a complaints policy but they are happy to adopt if Calderdale produce one. It was agreed to review the Constitution in 6 months.</p> <p>Page 8 <u>PMQA Framework</u> It was agreed to review in 3/6 months. The data set needs further and ongoing development and this will be reviewed.</p> <p>Page 10 MS is National Probation Service and KB is West Yorkshire CRC. Action – LGS to amend - COMPLETE</p> <p>Page 13 <u>Child Protection Information System (CPIS)</u> JC and PO followed up after the presentation and JC confirmed the timescales will be met. This will go live in February 2016 and will not replace current safeguarding measures. Members felt this was a positive development. Wider issue of reviewing to ensure that information sharing arrangements are current and effective on the CSCB agenda to look at.</p> <p>Page 17 <u>CSE Update</u> The CSE 2010 – 2014 Report is referred to as the O'Keefe Report. This is going to the Proactive & Responsive Sub-group (for scrutiny and analysis) and will be on the next CSCB agenda.</p> | <p>LGS</p> | |
|---|-------------------|--|

| | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | <p>The minutes of the meeting held on 4 December 2014 were agreed.</p> <p>SCR Board Meeting – 12 December 2014 Members agreed that the Case Review Sub-group formally looks at these minutes and they have been brought to the CSCB meeting for the record.</p> <p>Action – Members to feedback any comments to RB after today’s meeting and then the minutes can be signed off subject to the recommendation from the CR Sub group.</p> <p>(RB noted that in future we would need to make sure that when extraordinary meetings were held we had a clear process for agreeing the formal record).</p> | <p>ALL</p> <p>JC</p> | |
| <p>2.1 Correspondence Sent and Received</p> | <p><u>CSCB Membership</u> RB advised partners that when there is a need to change the representative who is a Board member, that he would be grateful if this could be done formally in writing, so that he can formally put this to the Board. (There was also a need as per the Constitution) to ensure that any proposed change met with the agreed criteria for membership. Clarification was sought re deputisation process – members were reminded that there was provision to identify and nominate a formal deputy and that in the interests of continuity this was limited to one named deputy.</p> <p><u>Consultation Exercise Department for Education re Working Together to Safeguarding Children</u> Calderdale didn’t have time to respond due to the Ofsted inspection. Members were asked to note principle proposed changes re</p> | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | <p>Notifiable Incidents, Local Authority Designated Officer/allegations arrangements, widening of the Significant Harm criteria, and that the latter RB may well have implications for the Case and Serious Case Review process. Members were also advised to note the appendix containing a number of other updates and revisions, which were not part of the consultation.</p> <p>https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/working-together-to-safeguard-children-revisions</p> | | |
| <p>3.5 Calderdale Learning & Improvement Framework (LIF)</p> | <p>Paper received. JC apologised for the absence of front sheets for reports and items today. This was due to the need to prioritise inspection arrangements The LIF is a requirement of Safeguarding Boards in Working Together. It is a live document and an important point of accountability for CSCB members as it sets out why and how we do things. The LIF has been subject to development and scrutiny by the Learning & Improvement Sub-group JC briefed members on the document and highlighted points. The LIF Focuses on the impact ie how what we are doing is making a measurable difference this year.</p> <p>RB invited comments and observations. <u>How does this fit in with the work the Early Help & Prevention Sub-group is doing?</u> JP confirmed that this is part of the thinking for the Sub-group in regard to identifying clear standards and quality measure for those involved in providing early help. <u>How often will the CSCB be updated about the impact?</u> JC confirmed that this will be on a quarterly basis.</p> | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|-----|--|
| | <p>DM pointed out that this cross references with what the Police do and MG said this is very similar to the model they use in the Fire Service. Schools put everything down regarding why they do things and have the evidence to back it up.</p> <p>RB asked if members were happy and comfortable with what is written on Pages 4 and 5. He confirmed that there is no “user representative” on the CSCB. We have Young Advisors and SK’s experience is that young people being involved is good and also good for the training.</p> <p>RB asked members if they felt the framework provided them and the wider audience with a clear indication of how we do things, and that we were prepared to be measured and measure ourselves against this?</p> <p>Members indicated that they agreed this was the case and that the Framework should be accepted subject to final scrutiny.</p> <p>RB recommended to members that they accept the LIF and gave a 4 week window to feedback.</p> <p>Action – Members to feedback on the LIF within 4 weeks (week ending 6th March)</p> | ALL | |
| <p>2.2 Matters Arising</p> | <p><u>CSCB MAT (Multi-agency Training) Charging Policy and Constitution</u> These are in place and all members are signed up.</p> | | |
| <p>3.1 Multi-agency Training (MAT)</p> | <p>3 papers received and presented by JC/AW. RB advised members that for the purpose of best use of time the report consolidating Quarters 1 – 3 was the most helpful to focus on.</p> | | |

Learning and Improvement Overview Report April – December 2014
(Quarter 1, 2 and 3)

Members were reminded that the MAT as a statutory responsibility has been ear marked as a high risk for the Board and the recommendations on Page 8 are for the CSCB to consider today. These recommendations outline continuing steps to reduce risk and improve outcomes and impact of the MAT.

There continues to be a high cancellation rate and have introduced the charging policy and requested Safeguarding Champions. To support this and other matters relating to MAT. Members have also been requested to support and contribute to some of the other measures outlined in the report e.g. audit of single agency provision, line management support for MAT.

Members commented that they were not fully aware that Safeguarding Champions were required and AW said that there is a need for someone in each agency to cascade multi-agency training information. RB felt it was important that if the Safeguarding Champions scheme was felt to be central to the MAT strategy and the addressing of risk, that it was important that all partners were clear about this and committed to it.

MS said that she felt she couldn't commit at the moment and would need clarity of what the Safeguarding Champion role would involve. It was also noted in discussion that such a scheme would have different implications for different organisations for example in terms of size and numbers of employees. There was also a concern that members did not always pick up which e mails required them to do something in their role as a Board member.

RB felt it was important that members had the opportunity to fully consider the Champions Scheme with a view to;

- a) assessing and deciding on its strategic significance in the

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | <p>context of the MAT strategy and the current need to reduce risk and improve effectiveness</p> <p>b) assessing and deciding whether in the light of a positive Board response to (a) that they were in a position to commit their organisation to the scheme.</p> <p>Action – AW to circulate the original Safeguarding Champion Report to all CSCB members</p> <p>Action - JC to consider how best to ensure communications to members were clearly informed</p> <p>Action - Members to evaluate whether they could commit after AW has re-sent the Safeguarding Champion Report out</p> <p>Action – Matter to be considered at the next Board meeting</p> <p>JC reported that the sub group was engaging with partners to collect information about their provision and views about the current and future MAT. JC felt it was important to avoid any impression that there was not a full commitment to safeguarding training and development, and that it was important for the Board to be able to offer clear leadership in the coming year and business plan. It was agreed that it is vital to provide multi-agency training. It was felt that as a Board we need to be clearer about what the demand and need is as well as the “return on the investment” for the Board and those releasing staff from work.</p> <p>RB summarised: We have strategic issues and we are moving to address these and he felt that the report showed a clear direction of travel. He noted</p> | <p>AW</p> <p>JC</p> <p>ALL</p> | |
|--|---|---|--|

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <p>that members were being asked to both support current delivery whilst also contributing to and steering a wider review to address risk.</p> <p>Members accepted Recommendation 1 from the Report.</p> <p>It was noted that the Learning & Improvement Sub-group currently has an Interim Chair and RB asked members if they had anyone in their organisation who they could release to be Chair of that Sub-group.</p> <p>Members agreed to see if they could identify possible candidates for this important role.</p> <p>Further discussion took place and the following was noted; Members felt that this report helpfully contained more data and analysis than previously.</p> <p>IB was concerned that we took a balanced view between attendance and learning outcomes. JC referred members to Page 5 which shows a breakdown of the evaluation of the training. RB felt that there was clear evidence that we were improving our understanding of the difference someone attending a course can make to what they do with children. Though it was noted that this was difficult, and that perhaps more guidance and examples of how this can be done will help.</p> <p>Members noted and agreed to support recommendation 5.</p> <p>(NB Members have endorsed and supported the charging policy earlier in the meeting).</p> <p>It was suggested and agreed that future reports needed to include more information and analysis of the e safeguarding training, as this was a strategically significant part of the delivery.</p> | | |
|--|--|--|

| | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|--|
| | <p>Draft Multi Agency Training Programme for 2015/16 RB advised members that notwithstanding discussion re overall issues there was a need for members to pass a view and agree the proposed programme for 15/16. PW asked what is different from last year and JC said that the programme has partially addressed learning and the impact from the revisions made in the past year, e.g. outcomes from audits are directly influencing programme content, and ultimately in future years would help set the overall content and priorities. JP has carried out some soft audits and a key issue was around practitioner learning.</p> <p>RB gave members a window of 4 weeks to feedback to JC and AW on the Learning and Improvement Programme proposed for 2015/16 which has been circulated with the agenda.</p> <p>Action – Members to feedback on the Learning and Improvement Programme within 4 weeks w/e 6th March</p> <p>Thanks were given to AW.</p> | <p>ALL</p> | |
| <p>3.2 Child Protection Conferences – Proposed CSCB Dispute Resolution Process</p> | <p>Paper received and presented by CB. RB set the context for this process which required Board members to agree and support. The Board has a direct interest in this part of the child protection process and members are clear about the standards and commitment this requires of them. The Board also supports and holds to account the Independent Reviewing Service which provides administrative support and the chairs for conferences. The proposed process therefore sets out the Board's role in regard of where there are key differences of opinion and or concerns around the outcomes conferences are required to achieve (ref Working Together 2013). The process would complement the</p> | | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <p>other forms of scrutiny and assurance that the Board undertakes. CB highlighted points in the report and confirmed the process. The possibility of having a flow chart and a summary has already been discussed. This will complement the West Yorkshire Consortium Procedures adopted as single agency at the moment.</p> <p>CB felt that it will support the improvement plans of her service to strengthen capacity for challenge both from and to the chair, and arrangements are being put in place to collect better evidence of this.</p> <p>RB highlighted that the learning from Child J indicated that we needed to be clearer as to the capacity for and impact of challenge across the system but specifically at points where key judgements and decisions were made. So it would be important that both the IRO service and the Board supported the development through existing reporting of the evidence for this as well as progress.</p> <p>CR felt that people can be reluctant to challenge due to repercussions but colleagues should be encouraged to. It was confirmed that this will go into the inter-agency procedures. It was agreed that it would be good to declare the above at the beginning of meetings (like conflicts of interest).</p> <p>CB confirmed that agency, parental and young people (if they at the meeting) feedback is received after each Conference and this could also support the focus on challenge.</p> <p>Members agreed to formally adopt (after formatting and the inclusion of a flow chart) the Dispute Resolution Process for Child Protection Conferences.</p> | | |
|--|--|--|

| | | | |
|--|---|--------------|--|
| | <p>Action - LK/JC to format and finalise for inclusion in Policy and Procedures/review date to be set and policy to be promoted on web site</p> | <p>LK/JC</p> | |
| <p>3.3 CDOP Annual Report 2013/2014</p> | <p>Paper received and presented by JF. CDOP is a statutory function of the Safeguarding Board and RB summarised the process of the CDOP. The CDOP Annual Report 2013/2014 is combined with Kirklees and JF referred to Page 19 of the report (Calderdale). It was felt that there was confusion over the wording on the last bullet point on Page 19 and JF clarified. It was agreed that may be to put “CDOP did not recommend any additional Serious Case Reviews”.</p> <p>JF stated that the CDOP hasn’t operated nationally in the way it was originally intended, this has resulted in some adjustments in terms of process as there was a former reliance on the national collation of data and learning from across the CDOP process nationally.</p> <p>It was confirmed that the CDOP Annual Report 2013/2014 (on the basis of what was available at the time) has already been included in the CSCB Annual Report. GPY confirmed that she felt the CDOP process did have a local impact and that there was a good link with JF and the Public Health role in terms of strategic planning and needs analysis.</p> <p>One of the recommendations is to have a newsletter so the CDOP will be more accessible. RB said he felt that the Board should take quarterly short reports, with a specific focus on local cases, as we needed to work towards a position where local impact was better recognised. JF drew members attention to the part of the report which evidences</p> | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|-----------|--|
| | <p>the planned work by the Panel</p> <p>It was agreed that we need to add CDOP into our Business Plan, so as to reflect the relationship between the Case Review Sub Group and the CDOP Panel, as well as the role of the Board as the accountable body.</p> <p>BW felt that it was important to give this specific form in terms of measurable actions and outcomes. If a “so what. What are we doing about this?</p> <p>In further member exchange it arose that there was a need to clarify the status of the report and its circulation.</p> <p>Action - JC to clarify current understanding and report to RB so as to clarify at the next Board</p> <p>RB says it is our focus as a Board to protect children. RB summarised and thanked JF and members of the CDOP for their report, which he concluded from member discussion, showed that the Panel had been effective in the year in question. He noted that there was clear scope to consider how we as a Board continue to support the Panel in improvement and learning, how we can show that this process has made a difference locally and to strengthen our accountable role as a Board.</p> | <p>JC</p> | |
| <p>3.4 Case Review Framework</p> | <p>Paper received and members are asked to note and contribute to the final stages of the framework.</p> <p>RB explained that as noted earlier discussion this document would be included within the Learning and Improvement Framework. He formally thanked the CCG for providing additional support to develop this framework, which demonstrated our learning from the past year</p> | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | <p>and our commitment to the development of transparent and accountable setting out of how we do things. Still needs formatting and revising after the Working Together Consultation. RB asked Does it pass the “reasonably clear and understanding test?” Members felt that it did and would be helpful</p> <p>It was agreed, subject to ongoing revision and formatting, the CSCB adopt for inclusion in the Learning and Improvement Framework.</p> | | |
| <p>3.6 2014 Section 11 Report</p> | <p>Paper received. RB advised and reminded members of the current 3 year strategy for the development of the Section 11 audit. Members were asked to look at this report which in this year (i.e. year 1) had tended to focus on process, but it was intended to strengthen learning from and challenge/QA in years 2 and 3.</p> <p>Discussion focused on pages 6 and 7 in relation to role of and relationship with the Adult Safeguarding Board, clarification of the target re GP’s and the relationship between commissioning organisations and the process (PW) and measures being put in place to support no- commissioned Voluntary sector organisations taking part.</p> <p>RB asked if members felt they were clear about progress and direction of travel. Members felt that this was the case. He further asked if subject to the development of further details and arrangements contained within report they would endorse the delivery of the Year 2 audit This was agreed. Advice from Board members was noted in terms of setting realistic targets across years 2 & 3.</p> | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|
| | <p>Actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JC to amend the wording as per discussion • JC to add SWYPFT as a large organisation • JC to advise and brief members re timetable and approach re audit for 14/15 | <p>JC JC JC</p> | |
| <p>3.7 Sub-group Chairs</p> | <p>Currently, there are no Chairs for the Learning & Improvement and Communication and Engagement Sub-groups, these have been filled on an interim basis by LA officers. Members were asked to note that this interim measure compromises the Board being able to show distribution of leadership roles across the partnership and this is a risk. Therefore members were asked to step forward with nominees.</p> <p>It was also noted that discussions are taking place re closer collaboration with the Adult Board. RB felt this was a good thing but noted from his experience elsewhere that this was unlikely to produce a solution in the short term to the current problem</p> <p>Action – Members to actively consider the identification of chairs for the Sub-groups and to advise JC</p> | <p>ALL</p> | |
| <p>3.8 CSCB Budget and Partner Contributions for 2015-16</p> | <p>Paper received. Projected budget for 15/16 will be circulated shortly. The budget is currently managed by JC but is now going to the Business Group for additional scrutiny. Agreed that a final format for presentation of information for the Board needs to be finalised. JC reported that all contributions from partners in regard to the current year have been received Exceptional spend on SCR to be noted and addressed re projected budget for 15/16.</p> | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| | Action - RB to write to members regarding a projected budget and formal request for contributions for 16-17 | RB | |
| 4.1 Electronic Needs Analysis (EHNA) | <p>4 papers received and presented by JF. JF noted that the ENHA is an important and helpful way of collecting and gaining insight into how children and young people see things, and because it is regular we now have substantial body of evidence. This is used to directly inform strategic partnership priorities, planning and commissioning, as well as supporting partners in other ways.</p> <p>RB thanked JF as he felt it was important for the Board to reflect on this and also look to how it can include the findings in both its business planning and its ongoing scrutiny and assurance role.</p> <p>JF explained there is a conference on 18 March 2015, which will link young people and schools back in with the process. JF has a power point presentation but has restricted circulation and JF is happy to present to individual services. PW thought it would be good for the services to say what would help them.</p> <p>Action - It was agreed that this needs to feed into the CSCB priorities for next year and that JC and the Business Group will identify how this will be achieved</p> <p>Thanks were given to JF.</p> | RB | |
| 4.2 Mental and/Emotional Wellbeing of C&YP in Calderdale as this relates to safeguarding | <p>RB had hoped that there would be time to ask Board members to reflect on the learning from the recent SCR, as well as recent news items nationally as to what role and actions the CSCB should consider and take. RB agreed to circulate his thoughts to members and the Business</p> | JC/Business Group | |

| | | | |
|-------------------|---|----|--|
| | Group. | | |
| 4.3 Ofsted | Already discussed at the beginning of the meeting (Item 1). | | |
| 4.4 CSE Update | <p>3 papers received and DM led.</p> <p><u>Strategy</u></p> <p>DM felt the key question at this point was. Have we addressed our own leaning and the learning from the Rotherham Report? The Jay Report had a lot of recommendations but this document didn't just focus on that it condenses best practice from all reports as well as our own learning This is a living document that will continue to evolve. (In keeping with CSCB approach)</p> <p>This document has been subject to recent revision and updating. The Action Plan has also been revised and is now intended to be a tool for the CSE Operational Group who will report on progress and provide evidence to the Proactive & Responsive Sub-group. Discussion followed and it was agreed that reference needs to be made to the Community Safety Partnership.</p> <p>It was noted that training has been carried out with hotels and is now being rolled out with taxi firms. RT confirmed that the training with taxi firms will be statutory, not optional training.</p> <p>It was agreed it will be helpful to add an explanation of what red, amber and green means, so that a more informed and accurate understanding of progress can be achieved.</p> <p>Action – DM to do further work on the Action Plan</p> <p>The Action Plan will be informing the Report that comes back to this Board.</p> <p>RB summarised and thanked DM and members of both the Sub-</p> | DM | |

group and Operational Group for their work on the revision and updating of the Strategy and the Action Plan. He also thanked DM and JC for meeting with him to advise and brief him.

Members were therefore asked to note the following;

- That at recent meetings partners had in the light of the Jay Report committed to and reported on current position in each organisation
- The sub group had led on the review of the current strategy and action plan and that the operations group would be directed by the action plan and the sub group would strengthen its role in monitoring, scrutinising and evaluating progress and performance
- Key links and cross overs were being put in place re the role of the Performance Sub Group and the Audit sub group so that the Board will be able to scrutinise and monitor performance of partners alongside quality
- Additionally there were ongoing audits and research intended to inform further learning and improvement
- The Board has also recently commissioned a SCR whose focus is a young person who has been the victim of CSE
- Members will also need to attend to the learning from CSE and ensure that this is transferable to Board and partner arrangements for and response to all forms of abuse.

Members agreed to endorse the updates and direction of travel.

DM briefed the Board re latest developments re Operation Harehill
DM briefed members on the arrests and the men being in Court on Thursday 12 February 2015. There is a Community Plan in place.

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Nothing adverse and will continue to monitor. The consensus of press reaction appears to be “well done West Yorkshire” and SS is being interviewed on Channel 4 news tonight.</p> <p>RB asked in the light of the most recent reports relating to the situation in Rotherham, whether they thought there was any indication that we might be fooling ourselves? CR confirmed that he thought that Calderdale were not fooling themselves and our response to CSE was robust. He felt from a political perspective there is no comparison between Calderdale and Rotherham. Ofsted were reassured about processes and a good example of partnership working but CR thought there will be a media storm.</p> <p>RT feels the community is in shock and people are scared and it was noted that this might be an element of the strategy that the Board would wish to better understand</p> <p>It was agreed that the Board should ensure that we have focus on prevention and support for victims.</p> <p>Board members were asked to note their role in ensuring that there was clear challenge to make sure the right things are being done.</p> <p>The Communications Strategy is joined up with the LA and the Police and the CSCB Front line practitioners have received FAQ's and are receiving external support.</p> <p>Members were reminded to seek advice before making public comment.</p> <p>Pro-active communication is with the police.</p> <p>RB, in connection with Operation Harehill, wondered if the Board should be more directly involved, as in other areas it is not unusual for the LSCB Independent Chair to be a member of the Gold Group.</p> <p>DM agreed to follow this up.</p> | | |
|--|--|--|

4.5

2 papers received.

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| <p>SCR Update</p> | <p><u>Child M</u> An Independent Chair and Author have been appointed and will sit on National Panels and a copy of the timeline and action plan was circulated.</p> <p>Members felt that they had all the information they needed.</p> <p><u>Child K</u> Parent and Grandparent still going through criminal proceedings so waiting for the conclusion of that before sharing the report with the family.</p> <p><u>Child J</u> Family currently considering feedback to the Author. This will be to address factual accuracy. Preliminary indications are that some family members have concerns re publication. It was confirmed that the CSCB did previously decide to publish the report and to take families views on board.</p> <p><u>Child D</u> Father in prison and JC following up regarding publishing the report.</p> <p>It was agreed to ensure that members receive regular updates.</p> | | |
| <p>4.6 Business Manager Update</p> | <p>Paper received. Due to the arrival of Ofsted, the Development Day agenda has been put on a back burner. The date still to stay in CSCB members calendars.</p> | | |
| <p>4.7 Partner Impact Statements</p> | <p><u>Public Health</u> JF is leaving her post at Easter. There will be a gap and the Director of Public Health will try and attend the CSCB. The service will be stressed for the next 6 months.</p> | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|------------|--|
| | <p><u>Adult Safeguarding Board</u> Currently have no Independent Chair but process is in hand for recruitment</p> <p><u>NHS England</u> There has been change and CS is attending as a Board member.</p> <p><u>Probation</u> Now Purple Futures confirmed as CIC. NPS Can't offer to Chair any Sub-groups as very stretched.</p> <p><u>Police</u> Additional 12 officers to cover adult and child safeguarding.</p> | | |
| 4.8 Signatures of Risk Leaflet | <p>Paper received. Members were asked to promote and disseminate.</p> | ALL | |
| 4.9 Early Help Strategy | <p>Paper received to confirm previous Board decision</p> | | |
| 4.10 Missing Flyer | <p>Paper received. This comes from Child J recommendations. Members were asked to promote and disseminate.</p> | ALL | |
| 4.11 Minutes from other Partnerships/Boards and Sub- group Reports/Minutes | <p>Papers received from: Business Group(7 January 2015) Case Review (19 December 2014) Multi-agency (12 December 2014) Performance Management (20 January 2015) Learning & Improvement (19 January 2015) Communication & Engagement (13 November 2014) Early Help & Prevention (19 January 2015) Proactive & Responsive (26 November 2014)</p> | | |

