

**CALDERDALE SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN BOARD
THURSDAY 19 JANUARY 2017 – 2 PM – 5 PM
THE SHAY STADIUM, SHAW HILL, HALIFAX**

2016/2017 Meeting Attendance

Member	Agency	3.3.16	5.5.16	7.7.16	1.9.16	3.11.16	19.1.17	2.3.17	4.5.17	6.7.17	7.9.17	2.11.17
Iain Baines	Adults H&SC	A	Y	A	Y	A	A					
Jo Sewell	CAFCASS	Y	Y	A	A	Y	D					
Penny Woodhead	Calderdale CCG	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y					
Paul Hutchison	Calderdale College	A	Y	Y	A	D	A					
Raj Unsworth	Calderdale Govs Assoc.	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	Y					
Pamela Ohadike	CHFT	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	A					
Robin Tuddenham	Comms & Service Support	Y	D	A	N	Y	N					
Julia Caldwell	CSCB	Y	Y	Y	A	A	Y					
Richard Burrows	CSCB Independent Chair	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y					
Julie Jenkins	CYPS	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	Y					
Stuart Smith	CYPS	A	A	A	A	Y	A					
Julia Kirkbright	Democratic & Partnerships	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N					
Janette Pearce	Housing	Y	A	Y	A	D	Y					
Shaheen Khawaja	LAY Member	A	Y	A	Y	Y	A					
Jo Taylor	LAY Member	Y	A	A	A	A	N					
Councillor Megan Swift	Lead Member (Participant observer)	Y	N	N	A	N	Y					
Maggie Smallridge	National Probation Service	Y	D	Y	Y	Y	D					
Gill Poyser Young	NHS Commissioners	Y	A	Y	Y	Y	Y					
Chris Stoddart	NHS England	A	D	Y	Y	Y	Y					
Vicky Thersby	NHS Trust	Y	Y	Y	A	Y	Y					

Lesley Bowyer	Primary Heads	Y	D	A	Y	Y	A					
Laura Newcombe	Primary Heads	Y	D	Y	D	Y	A					
Nick Hawley	Probation CRC	A	D	D	Y	A	D					
Ben Leaman	Public Health	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y					
Laura Knights	Safeguarding & QA Service	Y	Y	Y	A	Y	Y					
Bernadette Johansen	Safeguarding & QA Service	-	-	-	-	-	A					
Andrew Fisher	Secondary Heads	Y	Y	Y	A	Y	A					
Tim Breedon/ Julie Warren-Sykes	SWYPFT	A	N	D	Y	Y	D					
Jayne Leech	Voluntary Sector	A	Y	Y	A	Y	N					
Martyn Greenwood	West Yorkshire Fire	N	Y	Y	N	D	N					
Vincent Firth	West Yorkshire Police	D	Y	D	Y	Y	D					
Angela Everson	Women's Centre	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	Y					
Jeff Rafter	YOT/Early Intervention	Y	Y	A	Y	A	N					

Key: Y = Attend, N = Did Not Attend, A = Apology, D = Deputy attended in place

In Attendance for Apologies:

Marie Hadcroft (representing Nick Hawley)
 Ed Chesters (representing Vince Firth)
 Diane Andre-Brown (representing Jo Sewell)
 Emma Cox (representing Tim Breedon/Julie Warren-Sykes)
 Simon Burden (representing Maggie Smallridge)

Subject	Discussion and Agreed	Responsible Person	Complete/Progress
1 Welcome, Introductions and	Members were welcomed, introductions made and the apologies noted.		

<p>Apologies for Absence</p>	<p>There were no declarations of interest.</p>		
<p>2 Minutes of the Last Meeting and Matters Arising (3 November 2016)</p>	<p>Minutes RB confirmed that actions are followed up to check if they have been completed and evidence asked for.</p> <p>Page 3 All actions completed.</p> <p>Page 4 All actions completed. <u>Translations of Private Fostering Flyer</u> Due to go to the next Business Group meeting. The Flyers has been translated into Czech, Polish and Urdu. It is on the website and has been sent out to key partners. There are 5 known Private Fostering arrangements in Calderdale but there are probably more.</p> <p>Page 5 All actions completed.</p> <p>Page 6 All actions completed.</p> <p>Page 7 All actions completed. <u>Children with Disabilities</u> JC is meeting with her Leeds equivalent tomorrow and also Julie Killey (Service Manager Commissioning - Commissioning & Partnerships). Emma Cox advised that Barnsley SWYPFT is looking at having a Children with Disabilities Action Plan.</p>		

<p>Action – JC to contact Barnsley SWYPFT regarding the Children with Disabilities Action Plan</p> <p>Page 8 All actions completed.</p> <p>Page 10 All actions completed. <u>CDOP</u> Kirklees have indicated that they are not interested in joining with Wakefield at present, but wish to maintain status quo/ A paper will come back to the Board regarding CSCB positions/options following previous board discussion. (JC and BL met with Wakefield this week and all have agreed that could be better at sharing data).Kirklees CDOP Chair has suggested we look at their Ofsted report for learning lessons. This is work in progress and will go to the March 2017 CDOP Development Day and be reported to the chair prior to any board paper.</p> <p>Page 12 All actions completed. <u>Learning Disability Mortality Review (LeDeR) Pilot – Yorkshire and the Humber</u> CS advised that Tom Raines (NHS England) is happy to attend a CSCB meeting to talk about the Learning Disability Mortality Review (LeDeR) Pilot – Yorkshire and the Humber. RB advised that Case review sub group was monitoring so JPY as chair may wish to consider offer.</p> <p>Page 13 <u>LADO Exceptions Report</u> The Police have rectified any gaps and it is a force wide issue. It was noted that there has been progress as a result of challenges raised.</p>
--

<p>JC</p>

--

	<p>Page 15 All actions completed.</p> <p>Page 19 PW has shared the CCG dataset which she has and the Dashboard with Jacquie Hellowell.</p> <p>Page 20 <u>CQC Report from the CHFT Inspection</u> A meeting has been scheduled for RB and VT.</p> <p>The minutes of the meeting held on 3 November 2016 were agreed.</p> <p>Challenge Log It was noted that there is nothing to pick up today. RB suggested discussion about how to use the Challenge Log, e.g. how long challenges stay on.</p>		
<p>2.1 Correspondence Received and Sent</p>	<p>Papers received: <u>A – CDOP</u> To and from Bron Sanders (Chair of Kirklees LSCB).</p> <p><u>B – Letter to Sports Clubs</u> Members were asked to alert if they felt there was anything else. Emma Cox challenged that this letter could have offered training and she felt it was an opportunity missed.</p> <p>Action - RB to follow the letter up with the training offer</p> <p><u>C – SARC</u> Update to current sexual abuse services.</p>	<p>RB</p>	

	<p><u>D – Letter and Response</u> Child M.</p> <p><u>E – Letters from Partners, re 2017 – 2018 financial contribution</u> CYPS – yes (£125,454.90) Health – currently setting budgets (£80,000) CAFCASS – yes (£550) West Yorkshire Police – yes (£10,034) National Probation Service – no response as yet (£872.56) West Yorkshire CRC – no response as yet (£1,173)</p>		
<p>3.1 Learning & Improvement Sub- group Overview Report</p>	<p>Paper received and presented by JC. The Learning & Improvement Sub-group is tasked to overseeing the delivery of the programme and developing the wider strategy. This report was to update on progress and set the scene for the following item. A query was raised as to the video project, this was felt to be an interesting development but it was felt that further detail would confirm a view as to importance</p> <p>Action – JC to find out how to access the training video’s, to find out what the quality assurance is and confirm whether can share with partners</p> <p>AE challenged the numbers of attendees on training and JC confirmed that the numbers are in summary form for this report and that fuller information was available. PW challenged who the CCG is (is it CCG staff or GP’s?). JC confirmed that further breakdowns are available. It was noted that previous observations had advised of the need to ensure that organisations were correctly titled. PW felt that it is not about the level of detail but accuracy in denoting difference between professional groups and different types of organisational configurations for example GP’s as a group are key Front Line Practitioners, whereas the CCG role was a distinct one.</p> <p>It was agreed that a more accurate breakdown would support greater</p>	<p>JC</p> <p>JC/Allison</p>	

clarity and assurance.

It was noted that not all the Training Needs Analysis have been completed and returned. JC advised that this was now less of a concern as most of the outstanding returns had been now returned. The Sub-group has considered the extent to which the level of return impacted on the decisions about the programme and it had been felt that subject to ongoing revision this was not a critical factor. It was also noted that the TNA informed other aspects of the strategic development role of the Sub-group.

There was discussion as to whether or not the programme reflected the full range of and access to training provided or commissioned by partners, for example. RU stated that Schools access training elsewhere and asked if we could have evidence of this? VT confirmed that her staff regularly supply training for the CSCB.

The general principle of providing members with information that would help them to formulate strategic decisions and support their role in being accountable for supporting take up within their own organisations was discussed and agreed.

It was agreed to work towards a position of assurance that each partner receives the information that is useful to them.

PW would have expected the report to say what work is being carried out regarding the Chair of the Learning & Improvement Sub-group and JC confirmed that nominations for a Chair have been asked for. There is an interim Chair arrangement in place which is JC and PW feels this should be in the report.

Actions:

- **Further work to be completed re accuracy re headings for information about organisations and professional groupings**

Waddell

**JC/Allison
Waddell**

	- Members to note and act on request to identify new chair for sub group	ALL	
3.2 Multi-agency Training Programme 2017-2018 Rationale	<p>Two papers received and presented by JC. In response to a question it was noted that new courses are highlighted in yellow. Emma Cox highlighted training on The Affects of Mental Health which they provide to other Boards.</p> <p>Action – JC to ask Allison Waddell to contact Emma Cox regarding her offer of providing The Affects of Mental Health training</p> <p>PW asked if the late returns of the Training Needs Analysis would have an impact and JC confirmed the assessment by the Sub-group that this would not have a significant impact. It was noted that the Board would support a continued focus on learning from the TNA process by the Sub-group. RB asked for clarification re volume and anticipated numbers, given the document indicated some understandable adjustments. JC noted overall that there will be fewer courses but participation levels should be similar, this is mitigated by better targeting, reduction in cancellations, good take up, as well as the provision to amend the programme on the basis of regular monitoring by the Sub-group.</p> <p>Members agreed the Training and Development Programme April 2017 – March 2018 subject to the adoption of the points raised in discussion. (see also item 3.1) A further clarification was requested re links with Prevent training offer.</p> <p>Action – Allison Waddell to highlight where Prevent training is being offered</p>	JC/Allison Waddell	
3.3 Underweight, Overweight and Obesity in Children & Young	Two papers received and presented by JC. It was explained that this was a proposal to adopt a new pathway to address a deficit identified through recent learning.		

<p>People : Safeguarding Pathway</p>	<p>Emma Cox asked if CAMHS had been consulted as there may be an issue regarding CAMHS specific pathways?</p> <p>Action – JC and Emma Cox to pick up outside of this meeting (regarding the 2 Pathways)</p> <p>PW challenged what Launch means and BL felt it is about formalisation and being assured that the new pathway was helpful and followed. PW felt that it may be helpful for members to have some indication of what this requires of them? Discussion followed as to how as a Board we form a view on proportional and priority responses.</p> <p>It was agreed that this needs to go back and be reflected on.</p> <p>JJ challenged the red parts on the Pathway as the flow chart in its present form may unintentionally mislead people to reach an incorrect conclusion as to whether further action is required of them. JC indicated that in drawing this up it had been a challenge and it was felt that this issue had been addressed. JJ advised that she felt it was important to be as clear as possible regarding the interface with child protection. RB noted the views of JJ and the discussion generally and felt that the matter would benefit from further exploration as we would not want to unintentionally mislead people.</p> <p>It was agreed that it would be unwise to progress with launching at this stage. Need to look at further and JJ to be involved.</p> <p>PW reflected that this type of challenge illustrates one of the key purposes of the board.</p>	<p>JC/Emma Cox</p>	
<p>3.4 Business Plan 2015 - 2018</p>	<p>Two papers received and presented by JC. RB noted that this was a routine review. JC confirmed that as the shape of recent discussions at the development day gain form, the Business Plan will be subject to further review and renewal.</p> <p>PW challenged the amber actions that don't have a date and whether this was intentional</p>		

1st bullet point (1.5 and 1.7)

JC confirmed that the evidence has been gathered but not collated and done a “so what”. Need to feed into PMQA.

5th bullet point (5.13)

There are still developments regarding the Strategic Partnership Group and JC doesn’t have timescales. Timescales aren’t always in our control.

Page 4 (3.12)

JJ feels all CSCB members should be involved in an audit and be allocated a slot. If they cannot attend the allocated slot, they should find a replacement auditor. It was confirmed that there is Lead Auditor for all audits that can assist auditors. AE has been involved in an audit and she found it very useful. She briefed members on the process and what she did, but she had found it time consuming. BL asked about the level of commitment expected and it was explained that it depends on the audit. LK advised members that auditors are not expected to write up an Action Plan or Report. It is about being involved in the group.

RB confirmed that members needed to address this area of insubstantial progress and to formally re-commit to the principle of connecting with front line practice, but he felt the discussion and learning suggested the need for a clearer and proportionate approach. Whilst “auditing” was probably generally understood across partners he wondered if all members appreciated what was expected of them in this respect?

LK advised that the timescale of March 2017 is not achievable. PW confirmed she couldn’t commit until there was greater clarity but in principal she agreed.

RB asked members to re-commit to the principle and that audit was a key part of improving connection between board members and the front line. He noted that members indicated that there were other and complimentary

	<p>ways of achieving this, which he felt indicated the need to make available a clearer ask of members. This was agreed.</p> <p><u>Page 6 (5.17a) - Observation of Front Line Practice</u> PW made the challenge that some members observe all the time. RB suggested that we needed to be clearer about what we mean by observation and be SMARTER (see above).</p> <p>Action – JC to look at the wording of 5.17a and develop guidance/explanation of what is required from members with a view to implementing a programme</p> <p>It was confirmed that rag rated reds are looked at by the Business Group. It was noted that there is an existing invite for members to attend CP meetings to observe.</p>	<p>JC</p>	
<p>4.1 Budget</p>	<p>Paper received and presented by JC. JC briefed members on the budget. PW felt that not all members would be familiar with the way in which the LA managed its accounting reporting so it may be that aspects this budget report was confusing. BL challenged the miscellaneous figures as to accuracy.</p> <p>Further quality and assurance took place and RB summarised on the basis that a fuller year-end report will be available at the next meeting when the budget for 2017/18 is considered, that the report and discussion did not give grounds for any significant concern as to overall position and risks? This was agreed.</p> <p>Members were invited to send any suggestions of how to make the budget clearer.</p> <p>Action – Discussion on the carry forward on the budget to be a formal item at the March 2017 Board</p>	<p>ALL</p> <p>JC</p>	
<p>4.2</p>	<p>Paper received and presented by JC.</p>		

<p>Performance Management Quality Assurance Officer Role</p>	<p>There are discussions going on outside of the Board as to whether to carry on with the PMQA post and CSCB members will be kept updated. BL challenged that this paper implies that it has already been decided to extend the post.</p> <p>LK confirmed that at the March 2017 meeting the CSCB need to agree whether to extend the post, but this was dependent on being able to fund any extension and this therefore required a level of assumption. RB felt this was understandable and was clear that the final decision rested with the Board, and given a circumstance whereby extension was feasible, there would need to be clear grounds given the priority placed in the past on this function and capacity for a decision not to proceed.</p> <p>Members were briefed on the options (page 2) and LK asked for agreement in principal that we continue with exploring the options for the continuation of the PMQA post.</p> <p>It was agreed that the PMQA Officer is an important role and PW feels that a risk assessment would support the process either way. This was agreed in principal.</p> <p>JP thought there should be a common sense approach and to use RB and the Business Group to make the decision (governance). This was discussed and it was agreed to delegate decision making authority to the Chair and the Business Group.</p> <p>RB advised members that the way forward is to continue to explore the options and put choices/options/decision to the Board before March 2017.</p> <p>Action – RB to write to CSCB members to inform where we are with the PMQA Officer Role</p>	<p>RB</p>	
<p>4.3 Safeguarding Week</p>	<p>Paper received and presented by JC.</p> <p>RB felt that this is a really clear report and members agreed. Various points were raised in response to the analysis and learning in the report.</p> <p>JC informed the Board that there has been a suggestion of a West Yorkshire Safeguarding Week Communication Group who could run/lead on Safeguarding</p>		

	<p>Week next year (to lead on the planning, when Safeguarding Week is and the focus).</p> <p>RB asked if members would support the running of a Safeguarding week this year and this was agreed.</p> <p>Discussion took place, re a more regionally co-ordinated event and this was supported in principal subject to further detail. It was agreed that Safeguarding Week could be co-ordinated on a West Yorkshire basis but to still have a local group of Calderdale people working on it so do not loose local flexibility.</p> <p>It was agreed that this is the direction of travel and would like more detail on the West Yorkshire Safeguarding Week Communication Group. RB felt that once the details were clearer the Board would still need to have the opportunity to formalise its agreement in principal.</p>	<p>JC/Allison Waddell</p>	
<p>4.4 CQC Inspection on Safeguarding and Children Looked After</p>	<p>Paper received and presented by PW.</p> <p>RB reminded members that we were working to better integrate and support learning from statutory inspections, and noted the protocol that had recently been circulated.</p> <p>PW confirmed that the report has been to Corporate Parenting regarding the focus on CLA and that her report would focus on safeguarding and joint working arrangements. This review was carried out under the new regulations of the CQC so could make recommendations to the CCG. It took place in April 2016 and case and dip sampling were done quite extensively which was welcomed. The review and following process has had a significant time and resource implication – link to report: https://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20161025_clas-calderdale_final.pdf</p> <p>PW confirmed that the CQC will be updated on the Action Plan and will work with Public Health to make sure there is evidence of a continued joined up report. PW clarified that those services commissioned by the LA/PH that were</p>		

within the remit of the review fell outside of the CQC's remit in terms of recommendations. However all parties had agreed a joined up and accountable approach from the outset. PW feels there is a need for the Board to examine the emerging themes and recommendations/progress from the perspective of its statutory responsibilities. .

JJ advised members that the CSC was heavily involved in the CQC inspection (covered the child's journey). It was suggested that the Learning & Improvement Sub-group look at the training implications and how these could be supported and or integrated. JC confirmed that there has been specific training for health professionals by our Multi-agency trainer and this could be widened out.

BL advised members that Public Health now has a much better understanding regarding training. PW feels that there are things in the report that is worthy for the CSCB to look at and BL advised that there are issues that can't be solved overnight, e.g. the different health systems. GPY confirmed that work is being carried out regarding data assimilation so that comparisons will be easier and this will be concluded by the end of March 2017.

RB asked if there were things in the report that presented levels of concern for the current joint working arrangements. He felt that scrutiny of progress in this respect was important and that one of the ways of this being achieved was for members to report these. PW advised members that there is nothing to hide in this report and it is absolutely fine to have conversations and carry out deep dives around particular areas.

JC asked if the Business Group can look at the report and support PW/BL in order to identify key points/areas where we could achieve this. PW confirmed that it is about the partnership support and suggested that PW, GPY, BL and JC meet to talk about.

RB thanked PW and for the challenges identified. He felt that from his perspective reading the report had given him a valuable insight into the system as a whole and the child's journey that he had not fully appreciated in terms of how things fit together. Equally he welcomed the transparency and partnership

	<p>approach to responding positively to the learning from the review.</p> <p>Action - PW, GPY, BL and JC to meet to consider how best to process findings and fit with LSCB overview/oversight and how this adds to our understanding and assurance arrangements re the journey of the child</p> <p>Action - In light of the above future reporting and scrutiny outcomes to be identified</p>	<p>PW, GPY, BL, JC</p> <p>PW</p>	
<p>4.5 Multi-agency Audit Group (MAAG) Report</p>	<p>Paper received and presented by LK. This was deferred from the November 2016 Board so this report is slightly out of date. There is an Audit Schedule which LK can provide in future with the CSCB papers. Five audits have been completed and waiting for the Action Plans to come back to the MAAG. There are 3 audits currently underway and 4 audits waiting on the Audit Schedule. Trying to do some communication after audits.</p> <p><u>Ambers/Areas to Watch</u> Same audits carried out by the same individuals, this means that outcomes can be constrained. There are issues around capacity and this is linked to the Business Plan. It was noted that some multi-agency audits have been carried out which have not involved the MAAG and CSCB members were reminded that they involve the MAAG if carrying out audits.</p> <p>Action – Members to involve the MAAG if they carry out an audit</p> <p>JC informed members that a Learning & Implementation Action Pathway has been identified. Currently trying to simplify and identify the impact of the Board. Progress is being made. LK confirmed that for 17/18 the plan rolls forward as it has scope for new areas and the MAAG is up to speed with the process. PW challenged that if an inspector came in and wanted to see the Action Plans, what would happen? LK confirmed that the MAAG meetings are now bi-monthly</p>	<p>ALL</p>	

and it is about the audits not the Action Plans.
 It was noted that Bernadette Johansen is going to take over the Chairing of the MAAG and is covering while LK is on maternity leave.

RB thanked LK and the group for their work and the report. He noted the challenges raised, re balance between process and learning, as well as some of the challenges in ensuring that the approach was resourced. He felt it may be important to reflect on these in future discussions about priorities and revisions to board arrangements.

**4.6
Performance Management
Reports**

Five papers received and presented by JJ.
 Papers received:
 Covering Report
 Q2 – Early Help Indicators (1.1 – 1.10)
 Q2 – Child Protection Indicators (2.1 – 2.12)
 Q2 – Children Looked After and Adoption Indicators (3.1 – 3.8)
 Q2 – Leadership and Governance Indicators (4.1 – 4.5)

JJ briefed members on the papers received. JJ is having a session tomorrow regarding ongoing review of indicators and reporting into the CSCB and a meeting between Jacquie Hellowell and GPY is being arranged. RB felt that the reports were helpful and provided better context and grounds for scrutiny challenge by members. JJ noted that the full range of data/information and analysis was accessible to members and that this could further support them in their role.

JJ noted that from April 2017 Leeds City Council is being in charge of Adoption, and that this would require some re consideration of the form that data and reports took.

Members were asked if they had any questions about the reports received.
 PW challenged re the health data. PW is assuming that everything has been resolved and asked if there is a job for her, re escalation process. GPY will look at this at the next Performance Management Sub-group meeting and the

	<p>escalation process will start if need be. RB confirmed that it is a Board member's role to deal with if any performance management issues and JP thought we should celebrate success for the direction of travel. BL challenged the purpose of bringing this to the CSCB as a decision is not required. He thought it should be brought to the CSCB for information only. Chairs note: The primary purpose of the item is for information and scrutiny however this process may require or result in the need for the board to make decisions and/or offer guidance. It may be that clearer definition of recommendations arising from reports would create a case for some or all of the report being included in the decision section of the agenda.</p>		
<p>4.7 Partner Impact Statements</p>	<p>AE informed members that Noah's Ark and Home Start are having significant reductions in capacity. Emails have been sent out to confirm this. AE felt this is a concern and RB commented that whilst the LSCB had to be careful not to assume a partisan position on changes to the funding of any partner, it was important to share this as it would inform the board's wider monitoring and overall assurance re the system and whether or not from a strategic point of view it should highlight risks and offer advice. BL advised members of the Impact of the broader system which Judith Wyllie is co-ordinating.</p> <p><u>Review of Early Intervention</u> Paper received and presented by JJ. The Terms of Reference are at the beginning of the report. This report will go to the CYPEE in March 2017. This report is in draft and there is a 6 week consultation.</p> <p>Action – Members to send comments to JJ by 24 February 2017 and she will amalgamate into this report</p> <p>The final paper and options will then go to the CYPPE. RB offered that the Secretariat can collate CSCB views which JJ said she would</p>	<p>ALL</p>	

	<p>find useful.</p> <p>JJ confirmed that we need to recognise that Early Intervention is Child Protection. She highlighted various aspects of the review such as the pros and cons of merging Lower and Upper Valley panels.</p> <p>JJ advised members that this is for a free think and for colleagues to think more broadly.</p> <p>BL challenged that the report refers to health throughout and it is not always helpful to refer as just health.</p> <p>RB summarised thanking JJ for the way in which the review was inclusive of a wide range of views and recognised the important contribution the CSCB had to play. He hoped members would engage with the next stages of the review and that as a Board we needed to be able to carefully consider the outcomes.</p>		
<p>4.8 Serious Case Review (SCR) Update</p>	<p>Verbal update by GPY.</p> <p>Child M report has been published. RB has asked the Case Review Sub-group look at the publication of Child M for the learning lessons.</p> <p>One case currently under consideration. More information gathering to be carried out for RB as the decision is a complex one.</p>		
<p>4.9 Electronic Health Needs Assessment Reports</p>	<p>Three papers received: Front Sheet Primary (Years 5 & 6) Secondary (Years 7 & 10) These documents are publically available on the website.</p> <p>BL briefed members on the eHNA process. The uptake for involvement goes up every year. The eHNA is representative of what children are doing and what is important to them, in Calderdale. Each School receives their own data but not in the format of league tables. All the information is anonymous.</p> <p>Members were encouraged to read and talk about the Electronic Health Needs Assessment Reports and BL offered that he could produce a FAQ document for the CSCB.</p>		

	<p>RB thanked BL and noted his request and offer to respond to questions/production of updates. He pointed out that not all areas benefit from this rich insight and that the CSCB had increasingly drawn on the learning in shaping its direction and hoped that this would continue.</p> <p>It was agreed that this gives a unique insight to how people live and the mind of the child. This is the voice of the child.</p> <p>Action – Members to send any questions to BL</p>		
4.10 CYPPE Feedback	<p>Two papers received: Minutes Feedback from CYPPE Meeting (2 December 2016) RB feels the CYPPE Feedback documents need to be fed into the Business Group. It was confirmed that CSCB members will receive CYPPE feedback on a regular basis.</p>	ALL	
5.1 Minutes from other Partnerships/Boards and Sub- group Reports /Minutes	<p>Seven papers received (minutes): Business Group (14 December 2016) Performance Management (13 December 2016) Learning & Improvement (6 December 2016) Communication & Engagement (17 November 2016) Early Help & Prevention (28 November 2016) Multi-agency Audit Group (13 December 2016) Proactive & Responsive (15 September 2016)</p>		
5.2 Multi-agency Training Evaluation Reports (Quarters 1 and 2)	<p>Two papers received: Multi-agency Learning and Improvement Programme Report (April to June 2016) Quarter 1 Multi-agency Learning and Improvement Programme Report (July to September 2016) Quarter 2</p>		
5.3 Female Genital Mutilation	<p>Link received. This is a reminder of the FGM Strategic Response.</p>		

Strategic Response			
5.4 Further documents to support the Performance Management Item (4.6)	Three papers received: CSCB Indicator Report – Quarter 2 2016/2017 (1/7/16 to 30/9/16) CSCB Scorecard Context Report (Quarter 2) CSCB Scorecard Report (Quarter 2 2016-2017)		
6 Any Other Business	There was no other business.		
7 Rolling Reports/Future Agenda Items	<p>Child Sexual Exploitation: Evaluation of Peer Review and Calderdale Specific Response - May 2017</p> <p>Review of Private Fostering update and next formal report - September 2017</p> <p>LADO/Allegations management update and next formal report - September 2017</p> <p>CDOP update – September 2017</p> <p>CDOP future strategic options/feedback – March 2017</p> <p>Domestic Abuse LSCB self-assessment and learning from JTAI - TBC</p> <p>Learning from annual report/development day and proposals to amend current priorities and business plan – March 2017</p> <p>Future sub group and governance arrangements for LSCB – March 2017</p> <p>Relationships and collaboration with key strategic partnerships – May 2017</p> <p>Follow up to CHFT CQC inspection – March 2017</p> <p>2017/18 budget - March 2017</p> <p>CSCB Communications arrangements and website development - May 2017</p> <p>Anti-Trafficking and Modern Day Slavery Duty to Inform – National Referral Mechanism – March 2017</p> <p>Section 11 – March 2017</p> <p>Performance Management report - Quarter 3 – March 2017</p> <p>Review of FGM strategic response - TBC</p> <p>Prevent Annual Report – March 2017</p> <p>Honour Based Abuse & Forced Marriage Guidance – March 2017</p>		
8 Deferred Items for March 2017	There were no items to be deferred to the March 2017 meeting.		



9 Date and Time of Next Meeting	Thursday 2 March 2017 2 pm – 5 pm The Shay Stadium - Halifax		
--	--	--	--